

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/596,050	Applicant(s) COLLET ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN MACILWINEN	Art Unit 2442	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN MACILWINEN. (3) ____.

(2) ELAINE BERLIN (REG. NO. 61,194). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 04 August 2011.

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1, 4 and 8_____.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner proposed more clearly claiming the destination address/mailbox of the 'plurality of chunks' and the destination address/mailbox of the re-built email in a manner similar to the discussion of the two mailboxes on pages 4 - 5 of Applicant's Specification. Before the Examiner stated the precise amendments that would bring the application in condition for allowance, Applicant's representative stated that after conferring with their client they would prefer to receive an additional office action rather than proceed with an Examiner's Amendment.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/JOHN MACILWINEN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2442	
--	--